

LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

# **Location-Oriented Evolutionary Games for Price-Elastic** Spectrum Sharing

Feixiang Zhang, Xiangwei Zhou, and Xianghui Cao Published on IEEE Transactions on Communications, Sept. 2016

## BACKGROUND

- Spectrum Sharing Using Economic Approaches
  - A hierarchical system, composed of primary users (PUs) and secondary users (SUs).
  - Idle channels of PUs can be traded as merchandise.
  - Channels of different PUs are differentiable products, providing different utilities.
- Related Work
  - Without geographical consideration, channel selection preference of each SU, channel selling preference of each PU, and channel prices of different regions cannot be handled.
- Motivation
  - We consider both the locations of SUs and the licensed blocks of PUs. By modeling the multi-price policy of the PUs and the priceelastic demand of the SUs, we want to specify the following issues.
  - Channel selling preferences of the PUs.
  - Channel selection preferences of the SUs.
  - Channel prices of the PUs in different regions.



- Utility Model
  - The value assessment of a channel is price-related. Channel utility related to channel capacity and price sensitive function, i.e.,

$$U_{mn} = u_{mn} + \Xi_{mn}$$

- Price-Elastic Demand Model
  - Our demand model is applicable to both oligopoly and monopoly markets.
  - Prevent the PUs from irrational high prices.

- **Problem Formulation** 
  - We assume that each PU tries to achieve its maximum payoff. For a PU, the problem of interest is

 $\pi_m(p_{m1},\cdots,p_{m1})$  $\max$  $(p_{m1}, \cdots, p_{mN})$  $(b_{m1}, \cdots, b_{mN})$ 

### ANALYSIS

- We design a quota transaction process, in which the PUs set the numbers of channels they would like to sell to particular SUs, or quotas.
  - Channel transaction number should be under the quota, i.e.,  $b_{mn} \leq k_{mn}$
  - Selling channels without reserve
  - Selling channels with reserve

- We eliminate transaction situations that could not maximize the payoffs of the PUs.
- To sell more channels to the SUs that bring more payoff, the evolutionary procedure is defined as replicator dynamics. Specifically,

 $\Delta k_{mn}(\tau) = \mu_m \left[ \bar{\pi}_{mn} \left( k_{mn}(\tau), k_{-mn}(\tau) \right) \right]$ 

- We can determine a stable quota v
- We prove that  $k_m^*$  is asymptotical

#### SIMULATIONS



Total channel payoffs for selected 2 PUs and system throughput in individual analysis and grouping mechanism.

$$(b_{mN}, b_{m1}, \cdots, b_{mN})$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} k_{mn} = S_m$$

$$k_{mn} < S_m$$

) 
$$- \bar{\pi}_m \left( \mathbf{k}_m(\tau), \mathbf{k}_{-m}(\tau) \right) \right] k_{mn}(\tau)$$
  
vector  $\mathbf{k}_m^* = (k_{m1}^*, \cdots, k_{mN}^*)$   
ly stable.



the payoff differences between BIQs and ESS quotas.



## CONCLUSIONS

- We develop a model where geographic information, including licensed areas of PUs and locations of SUs, plays an important role in the spectrum sharing system.
- The value assessment of a channel is price-related and the demand from the SUs is price-elastic.
- We prove the existence and uniqueness of the evolutionary stable strategy quota vector of each PU, which leads to the optimal payoff for each PU selling channels without reserve.
- In the scenario of selling channels with reserve, we predict the channel prices for the PUs leading to the optimal supplies of the PUs and hence the optimal payoffs.



LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY

Average total channel payoff of PU1, and normalized standard deviation (NSTD) of

Total channel payoff of PU2 when selling channels with reserve.